THE MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

THIRTY-SIXTH DAY

Friday, 14th April 1961.

The House met in the Assembly Hall, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore, at one of the Clock.

Mr. SPEAKER (Sri S. R. KANTHI, B.A., LL.B.) in the Chair.

MEMBER'S REPRESENTATION

- Sri J. B. Mallaradhya (Nanjangud).—Sir, on a point of procedure I should like to draw the attention of the Hon'ble Speaker.
 - Sri G. SIVAPPA (Chitradurga).—Sir, this is the question hour.
 - † Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA .- I am not making a representation.
 - Mr. Speaker.—If it is not a representation; then it refers to what?
- Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—It refers to the constitutionality of conviening the meeting today. I do not know why my friend Sri Sivappa objects. I invite the attention of the Hon'ble Speaker to Rule 15 of the Rules of Procedure. It reads as follows:
 - "The Speaker shall determine the time when a sitting of the Assembly shall be adjourned *sine die* or to a day or hour or part of the same day:

Provided that the Speaker may, if he thinks fit, call a sitting of the Assembly before the date or time to which it has been adjourned or at any time after the Assembly has been adjourned sine die."

Sir, the Hon'ble Speaker, will remember that due to certain reasons yesterday, you were pleased to adjourn the House and when vou adjourned the House you did not say that the House will adjourn to meet at such and such an hour or such and such a day. No such mention was made According to rule 15, there are 2 courses open to the Hon'ble Speaker-either to adjourn it sine die or to adjourn to a date or part of the same day. Now, none of these things were done. Of course, the proviso says that the Hon'ble Speaker can call a sitting before the date or time to which it has been adjourned. While giving a decision that the Assembly is adjourned, you did not say or specify either the day or part of the day. When you adjourn the House for lunch, you will say that the House is adjourned for half-an-hour to meet at 3-30 or at 10-30 during morning sessions. At the end of the day, you will say, House will adjourn to meet tomorrow at 1 P.M. According to rule 15, none of these things are said. I personally feel, if you want to summon this Assembly, it should be by some proper notice, I am wondering ...

[†] Indicates that the remarks or speeches have not been revised by the Member concerned.

- Mr. Speaker.—I want to put a question. I did say yesterday that the House was adjourned. What is his interpretation of my saying so? Does he think that I adjourned the House for the day or I adjourned for 2 days or 3 days or for half-an-hour or one hour? What is his interpretation?
- Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—In so far as the Hon'ble Speaker did not mention either the hour or the day or part of the day, my interpretation is, that it is adjourned *sine die*, even though the Hon'ble Speaker did not say it.
- Mr. Speaker.—After all, there are two possibilities; one is, when the House is adjourned, it must be taken that the House is adjourned for the remaining part of the day; secondly, it must be taken that the House was adjourned sine die.
- Sri J. B. Mallaradhya.—I do not know how it would be appropriate for us to meet today to transact the business. Why I am raising this point is, whether it would be thoroughly regular to go through the proceedings in a situation like this. I leave it to the Hon'ble Speaker to decide; I have raised this point.
- Mr. Speaker.—I will remind the Hon'ble Members that I did say yesterday that the House was adjourned. It has to be interpreted what it exactly means. So far as my experience and my knowledge goes, when the Speaker says that the House is adjourned, it must be taken as being adjourned for the remaining part of the day. When such interpretation is unacceptable to the Hon'ble Member, it can only mean that the House is adjourned sine die. according to him. Under rule 15, the Speaker has a right to call the Assembly at any time he likes by the Order of the Day. The House has been called today and a meeting has been fixed at 1 O'clock this day. Now, we shall go to the questions.
- Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Gubbi).—Sir, even accepting your interpretation that it should be presumed that it was adjourned for the remaining part of the day.....
- Sri K. Puttaswamy.—Sir, may I know whether the Chair is allowing further discussion on this?
 - Mr. Speaker.-No. no.
- Sri B. D. Jatti (Chief Minister).—May I know whether a ruling has been given by the Chair? If a ruling has been given, this further discussion should not be allowed.
- Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I want to know whether you have given a ruling. If it is a ruling, I will obey the ruling.
- Mr. Speaker.—What does he think it is? It is a ruling of the Chair.
 - Sri C. J. Muckannappa.—You were just clarifying the position.

Mr. Speaker.—No. I was not only clarifying but I gave a ruling also that the House was called to meet at 1 O'clock today; that is the ruling. If he has any mis-apprehension about it, I say, it is a ruling. If he wants to challenge the ruling, he cannot do it; if we want to accept the ruling, we cannot argue about it.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—I do not challenge it Sir.

Mr. Speaker.—If he does not challenge, as he says, he cannot argue about it.

STARRED QUESTIONS

(to which oral answers were given.)

STARTING OF GOVERNMENT PRIMARY SCHOOLS IN THE VILLAGES OF THE STATE

*Q.-83, Sri K. KENCHAPPA (Hiriyur).-

Will the Government be pleased to state:-

- (a) the number of villages in the State, where there are no Government Primary Schools;
- (b) the number of villages in the State, where Primary Schools are not working during the year 1958-59, 1959-60 and 1960-61, though Schools are sanctioned;
- (c) the number of boys of school going age, who have no facility to go to school at their own village in the State;
- (d) the arrangements made for starting the Schools in all the villages in the state;
- (e) the amount allotted and spent for this purpose during the last three years?

A.—Smt. Grace Tucker (Deputy Minister for Education).—

(a) 18,577.

(b) 1958-59 1959-60 1960-61

- (c) 67,878. This figure includes the number of boys and girls.
- (d) Schools have already been started in most of the villages with a population of 300 and above. It may be possible to provide Schools in the remaining villages during the III Five-Year Plan.

(e)	1958-59	1959-60	1960-61
Amount alloted	9,51,719	10,63,696	15,36,133
Amount spent		9,27,622	12,79,384